

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION I		
10/807,256	03/24/2004	Isao Tsuyama	1344.1140 5420		
21171 75	590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			KIANNI, KAVEH C		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2883		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/807,256		TSUYAMA, ISAO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	,	Kianni C. Ka	iveh	2883				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the o	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sereply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event n. eriod will apply and will e statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the street of the second ARANDONER	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	16 February 2006	\					
· —	i	This action is nor						
3)	,							
,	closed in accordance with the practice und				monto io			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛								
8)[
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			•			
			d or b) objected to	by the Examiner	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form $X = 0$ All b) $X = 0$ Some * c) $X = 0$ None of:	eign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been i	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•			Stage			
	application from the International Bu				· ·			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certifie	d copies not received	d .				
***	AA							
Attachmen 1\ ⊠ Notic								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413) e.				
3) 因 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	5) (3/08) (5)	Notice of Informal Pa		152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in a paper submitted on 2/14/06 is acknowledged. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is recites the limitation 'the light input' in line 5, 'said first pot' in line 8, 'said third port' in line 8, 'said second port' in lines 8-9, 'the transmitted light output' in line 10, 'said optical transmission section' in lines 10-11, 'the light output' in line 11, 'the multiplexed light' in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Corrections are required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if no longer the base claim is rejected under U.S.C 112 2nd P.

Art Unit: 2883

Claim 2 and 4-5 are allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious their respective limitations in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maxham (US 6411407).

Regarding claim 1, Maxham teaches an optical apparatus for bidirectional optical communication (shown in at least fig. 4) comprising:

an optical transmission section (see received-long band item A) that outputs a transmitted light; an optical reception section that is input with a received light whose

Application/Control Number: 10/807,256

Art Unit: 2883

wavelength is different from that of the transmitted light (see fig. 4, item mux/demus West);

an apparatus, which includes an optical filter, outputting the light input thereto, via said optical filter (shown in fig. 4, item 56 with a filter 58);

a first optical component (demux E-side), which includes first through third ports, outputting the light input to said first port to said third port, and outputting the light input to said second port to said first port (shown in fig. 4, item demux E-side with three ports);

a second optical component multiplexing the transmitted light output from said optical transmission section A with the light output from the third port of said first optical component, to output the multiplexed light to said apparatus (shown in fig. 4, item 52); and

a third optical component 72 separating the light output from said apparatus 56 according to wavelength difference, to output the separated lights to the second port of said first optical component (see 2nd port of Mux/Demux East) and to said optical reception section (see item mux/demux West), respectively.

However, Maxham does not specifically teach wherein the above filter is an optical isolator. It is obvious/well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made that a filter is s form of isolating specific wavelength(s) and further an isolator is extremely convention unit widely used in fiber optic communication and whether to use a filter or a conventional 'isolator' is as matter of design configuration

Art Unit: 2883

since such configuration would provide an optical apparatus in which bidirectional signals are transmitted/received in an efficient manner (see col. 1, lines 37-41)

Regarding claim 3, Maxham further teaches a supervisory control section that controls at least one of said optical transmission section, said optical reception section and said apparatus, based on at least one of operational states of said optical transmission section, said optical reception section and said apparatus (shown in fig. 4, item 14a).

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does. These references are:

Bala et al. 6333799

· US 20050180749 A1 Koley, Bikash et al.

US 20050175342 A1 Nakajima, Ichiro et al.

US 20050111788 A1 Tsuyama, Isao

US 20020191250 A1 Graves, Alan F. et al.

US 6333799 B1 Bala; Krishna et al.

US 6101012 A Danagher; David John et al.

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within these references as prior art.

Art Unit: 2883

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (571) 272-2417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

April 24, 2006

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER